IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

4:21CR3084
ORDER

Defendant has moved to continue the conference call, (Filing No. 99), because defense counsel was not available during the previously-scheduled conference call. The motion to continue is unopposed. The Court previously continued the telephone conference based upon email correspondence with counsel. Based on the showing set forth in the motion, the court finds good cause has been shown and the motion should be granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defendant's motion to continue, (Filing No. 99), is granted.
- 2) The conference call previously scheduled to be held on June 6, 2024 will be held before the undersigned magistrate judge at 9:15 a.m. on June 10, 2024 to discuss setting any pretrial motion hearing needed, a change of plea hearing, or the date of the jury trial and deadlines for disclosing experts as required under Rule 16. Counsel for all parties shall use the conferencing instructions provided by the court to participate in the call.
- The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the motion to continue outweigh the interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial, and the additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, the time between today's date and June 10, 2024 shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, because although counsel have been duly diligent, additional time is needed to adequately prepare this case for trial and failing to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1) & (h)(7). Failing to timely object to this order as provided under this court's local rules will be deemed a waiver of any right to later claim the time should not have been excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated this 10th day of June, 2024.

BY THE COURT:

<u>s/ Jacqueline M. DeLuca</u> United States Magistrate Judge